

A formula for the inverse covariance matrix of a vector autoregression

Granville Tunnicliffe Wilson*

April 25, 2017

1 The formula

Let Γ_k be the covariance at lag k of a VAR(p) model for an m -dimensional process x_t , with given coefficients Φ_k and innovation variance V_e :

$$x_t + \Phi_1 x_{t-1} + \Phi_2 x_{t-2} + \cdots + \Phi_p x_{t-p} = e_t, \quad (1)$$

having the reversed time or backward representation:

$$x_t + \tilde{\Phi}_1 x_{t+1} + \tilde{\Phi}_2 x_{t+2} + \cdots + \tilde{\Phi}_p x_{t+p} = \tilde{e}_t. \quad (2)$$

Note that the coefficients Φ_k and $\tilde{\Phi}_k$ here have the reverse of the sign used conventionally in the book. This is notationally convenient for the derivation.

Let the variance matrix of the stacked vector X_n of the finite span of series values x_1, \dots, x_n be:

$$\text{Var}X_n = \text{Var} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_0 & \Gamma_{-1} & \cdots & \Gamma_{-(n-1)} \\ \Gamma_1 & \Gamma_0 & \cdots & \Gamma_{-(n-2)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Gamma_{(n-1)} & \Gamma_{(n-2)} & \cdots & \Gamma_0 \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{G}_n. \quad (3)$$

Then the book formula (2.61) for the inverse covariance matrix is

$$\tilde{G}_n^{-1} = M'W_nM - N'\tilde{W}_pN \quad (4)$$

where the $n \times n$ block matrix M and $p \times n$ block matrix N are

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \Phi_1 & I & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Phi_p & \cdots & \Phi_1 & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_p & \cdots & \Phi_1 & I & 0 & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Phi_p & \cdots & \Phi_1 & I \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YF, UK.

and

$$N = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi}_p & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{p-1} & \tilde{\Phi}_p & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \tilde{\Phi}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{\Phi}_{p-1} & \tilde{\Phi}_p & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

and the matrices W_n and \tilde{W}_p in (4) are respectively $n \times n$ and $p \times p$ block diagonal with entries V_e^{-1} and \tilde{V}_e^{-1} along their respective diagonals.

2 Derivation of the formula

Let the $n \times n$ block matrix A be given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} I_p & 0_{p \times (n-p)} \\ & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

where, to clarify, I_p indicates a diagonal matrix of p identity matrices of size m , and similarly for $0_{p \times (n-p)}$. The $(n-p) \times n$ block matrix B is given by

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_p & \Phi_{p-1} & \cdots & \Phi_1 & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \Phi_p & \Phi_{p-1} & \cdots & \Phi_1 & I & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \Phi_p & \Phi_{p-1} & \cdots & \Phi_1 & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

Note that the upper left and lower right $p \times p$ block components of B are respectively

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_p & \Phi_{p-1} & \cdots & \Phi_1 \\ 0 & \Phi_p & \cdots & \Phi_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \Phi_p \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad D = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \Phi_1 & I & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \Phi_{p-1} & \cdots & \Phi_1 & I \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

Then from (1), application of A to X_n leaves the first p terms unchanged and transforms the remainder to their innovations:

$$AX_n = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_p \\ e_{p+1} \\ e_{p+2} \\ \vdots \\ e_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

so that on taking variances

$$A\tilde{G}_n A' = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{G}_p & 0 \\ \hline 0 & V_{(n-p)} \end{array} \right), \quad (11)$$

where V_{n-p} consists of $n - p$ block diagonals all of V_e . Consequently

$$\tilde{G}_n^{-1} = A' \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{G}_p^{-1} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & W_{(n-p)} \end{array} \right) A, \quad (12)$$

where $W_{n-p} = V_{n-p}^{-1}$.

Similarly define, in terms of the coefficients of the backward model:

$$\tilde{A} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{B} \\ \hline 0_{p \times (n-p)} \quad I_p \end{array} \right), \quad (13)$$

where

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} I & \tilde{\Phi}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{\Phi}_{p-1} & \tilde{\Phi}_p & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & I & \tilde{\Phi}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{\Phi}_{p-1} & \tilde{\Phi}_p & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & I & \tilde{\Phi}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{\Phi}_{p-1} & \tilde{\Phi}_p \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

with upper left and lower right $p \times p$ block components respectively

$$\tilde{C} = \begin{pmatrix} I & \tilde{\Phi}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{\Phi}_{p-1} \\ 0 & I & \cdots & \tilde{\Phi}_{p-2} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & I \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{D} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\Phi}_p & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \tilde{\Phi}_{p-1} & \tilde{\Phi}_p & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \tilde{\Phi}_1 & \cdots & \tilde{\Phi}_{p-1} & \tilde{\Phi}_p \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Then

$$\tilde{A}X_n = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{e}_1 \\ \tilde{e}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{e}_{n-p} \\ \hline x_{n-p+1} \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad (16)$$

and on taking variances

$$\tilde{A}\tilde{G}_n\tilde{A}' = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{V}_{(n-p)} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \tilde{G}_p \end{array} \right), \quad (17)$$

where \tilde{V}_{n-p} consists of $n - p$ block diagonals all of $V_{\tilde{e}}$. Consequently

$$\tilde{G}_n^{-1} = \tilde{A}' \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{W}_{(n-p)} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \tilde{G}_p^{-1} \end{array} \right) \tilde{A}, \quad (18)$$

where $\tilde{W}_{n-p} = \tilde{V}_{n-p}^{-1}$.

Now equate the lower right $p \times p$ block matrices of (12) and (18) which gives

$$D'W_pD = \tilde{D}'\tilde{W}_p\tilde{D} + \tilde{G}_p^{-1} \quad (19)$$

and hence

$$\tilde{G}_p^{-1} = D'W_pD - \tilde{D}'\tilde{W}_p\tilde{D}. \quad (20)$$

Note that by equating the upper left $p \times p$ block matrices of (12) and (18) gives also

$$\tilde{G}_p^{-1} + C'W_pC = \tilde{C}'\tilde{W}_p\tilde{C} \quad (21)$$

and hence

$$\tilde{G}_p^{-1} = \tilde{C}'\tilde{W}_p\tilde{C} - C'W_pC. \quad (22)$$

However, it is from (20) that we substitute for \tilde{G}_p^{-1} into (12), which may be expressed using (7) as

$$\left(\begin{array}{c|c} G_p^{-1} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) + B'W_{(n-p)}B = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} D'W_pD & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) - \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \tilde{D}'\tilde{W}_p\tilde{D} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) + B'W_{(n-p)}B. \quad (23)$$

We now note that

$$M = \left(\begin{array}{cc} D & 0 \\ \hline & B \end{array} \right) \quad (24)$$

so that

$$M'W_nM = \left(\begin{array}{c} D' \\ \hline 0 \end{array} \right) W_p \left(\begin{array}{cc} D & 0 \\ \hline & B \end{array} \right) + B'W_{(n-p)}B. \quad (25)$$

Similarly using

$$N = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \tilde{D} & 0 \\ \hline & \end{array} \right) \quad (26)$$

we obtain

$$N'\tilde{W}_nN = \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{D}' \\ \hline 0 \end{array} \right) \tilde{W}_p \left(\begin{array}{cc} \tilde{D} & 0 \\ \hline & \end{array} \right). \quad (27)$$

Combining (25) and (27) as in (4) we see that they agree with (23).

For the expression (2.61) in the book reverse the signs of M and N , which does not affect (4), and set $\Phi_0 = \tilde{\Phi}_0 = -I$.